International Journal of Advanced Research and Multidisciplinary Trends (IJARMT)
An International Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 6.4 Website: https://ijarmt.com ISSN No.: 3048-9458

An Analysis Of Student Difficulties In Understanding Fundamental Arithmetic Concepts

Dr. Ajay Kumar Mishra
Professor & Vice Chancellor
Sikkim Skill University
Namthang, Sikkim

ABSTRACT
Arithmetic is the basic foundation of mathematical knowledge and is the basis for all other mathematical branches. However, despite its basic nature, a significant number of students show persistent problems in understanding basic arithmetic concepts. The problem mainly arises due to a lack of proper conceptual understanding rather than mere calculation skills. This paper provides a theoretical and analytical study of the problem of arithmetic concepts for students using a formal mathematical framework. Basic concepts of arithmetic like number representation, addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division are formalized using proper definitions, axioms, theorems, and proofs, with examples and teaching comments. Special focus is given to the structural properties of these concepts like commutativity, associativity, and distributivity, which are commonly misunderstood by students. The paper shows that most arithmetic problems stem from poor internalization of the basic principles. By combining logical reasoning with teaching interpretation, the paper emphasizes the significance of proper axiomatic presentation in improving conceptual understanding. The results show that proper familiarity with mathematical structure and practice can greatly improve arithmetic skills and prepare students for advanced mathematical thinking.

Keywords: Arithmetic foundations, conceptual understanding, student difficulties, mathematical reasoning, axiomatic approach, elementary mathematics

1. INTRODUCTION

Arithmetic is the first formal encounter with mathematics and is the basis upon which algebra, calculus, and higher mathematical sciences are built. Arithmetic exposes the learner to numbers, operations, and logical connections, thus influencing the first impression of mathematics as a subject. While the arithmetic processes of addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division may seem very elementary, a great many learners have consistently struggled with these processes across all levels of education. This struggle is usually reflected in misconceptions about value, meaning, and manipulation, often leading to systematic errors in computation.

The traditional mode of instruction has primarily focused on procedural fluency rather than conceptual understanding, thus encouraging learners to memorize procedures without understanding their logical basis. For instance, learners may mechanically apply rules such as

$$a + b = b + a$$

without comprehending the commutative property of addition, or to perform complex procedures without grasping the underlying associative and distributive patterns. As a result, students often have difficulty applying learned abilities in novel situations, display a lack of flexibility in problem-solving, and experience anxiety related to mathematics.

Mathematics, on the other hand, is a deductive field of study based on clear definitions and sound rules. The elementary arithmetic operations themselves are characterized by established properties, such as associativity, commutativity, and distributivity, which form a consistent system of operations. By explicitly stating these rules, arithmetic can be viewed not just as a set of procedures, but as a structured system of relationships. For instance, the distributive property

$$a(b + c) = ab + ac$$

not only facilitates efficient computation but also offers conceptual bridges to algebraic thinking.

Arithmetic presented as a structured system allows the learner to recognize links between operations and develop analytical thinking. Conceptual understanding of number representation, such as expressing any natural number nin decimal form as

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k 10^k,$$

this further enhances awareness and interpretation of symbolic representations. These formal representations of concepts encourage students to go beyond surface-level calculations to deeper mathematical understanding.

In this regard, the current study takes a theoretical approach to analyze basic arithmetic concepts from a formal definition, theorem, proof, and example perspective. By combining formal mathematical representation with interpretation, the study aims to uncover the conceptual roots of difficulties and illustrate how formal arithmetic clarity can facilitate learning. In this manner, arithmetic is re-conceptualized not only as basic calculation but as a gateway to logical reasoning and mathematical maturity.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Pandiangan et al. (2024) investigated arithmetic difficulties in elementary school students and found that learners faced serious difficulties in basic arithmetic operations like addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. The study found that the main sources of errors were not the inability to perform calculations but the conceptual misunderstandings, especially in the understanding of place value and operations. The authors also found that learners were relying on memorized procedures without proper understanding of the mathematical concepts, which led to inaccuracies in problem-solving.

Ralphaih (2024) examined the errors made by students in solving mathematical problems using the framework of arithmetic conception theory. The results showed that students commonly made errors in terms of misconceptions about number sense, operational properties, and symbolic representation. The study categorized the errors into conceptual and procedural errors, concluding that most errors were a result of poor conceptual understanding. Ralphaih highlighted that a lack of understanding of arithmetic concepts caused students to resort to inappropriate problem-solving strategies, particularly in multi-step problems.

Lima et al. (2019) carried out a systematic review on the challenges of basic mathematical concepts among higher education students. The authors found that the lack of basic arithmetic skills had continued into higher education, impacting students' performance in higher-level mathematics courses. The review highlighted key areas of difficulty in mathematics, which included fractions, algebraic manipulation, proportional thinking, and basic arithmetic operations. The authors concluded that the initial gaps in conceptual understanding of basic arithmetic skills had played a crucial role in determining students' performance in mathematics. **Swastika et al. (2022)** investigated the difficulties of students in solving social arithmetic word problems, with a focus on conceptual understanding. The findings of the study showed that students had poor ability to translate real-life problems into mathematical expressions, which indicated a lack of conceptual understanding of arithmetic concepts. The authors observed that mistakes were common during problem-solving and strategy selection, rather than during calculation. The study highlighted that poor conceptual understanding has a direct effect on students' ability to model and solve contextual arithmetic problems.

3. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

A clear comprehension of arithmetic procedures begins with a formal definition based on set theory and recursion. It is important to lay a foundation for both mathematical accuracy and educational clarity, allowing students to view arithmetic not only as a set of calculation procedures but also as a logically organized system. In this section, natural numbers are defined together with recursive definitions of addition and multiplication. These definitions show the underlying connections between operations and serve as a basis for examining student errors stemming from an inappropriate conceptualization.

Let

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0,1,2,3, \dots \}$$

denote the set of natural numbers.

Definition 3.1 (Addition)

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, addition is defined recursively as follows:

1. **Identity property:**

$$a + 0 = a,$$

2. **Successor property:**

$$a + (b + 1) = (a + b) + 1.$$

These axioms define addition by specifying how any number is incremented step by step through the successor operation. The first axiom states that the additive identity is the number zero, while the second defines addition inductively by reducing it to previously defined cases.

Definition 3.2 (Multiplication)

Multiplication is defined in terms of addition for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$:

1.

$$a \times 0 = 0,$$

2.

$$a \times (b + 1) = a \times b + a.$$

This recursive definition encapsulates the concept of multiplication as addition repeated multiple times. Every time the multiplier is incremented, another copy of the multiplicand is added, hence unveiling the concept of multiplication as an extension of addition rather than a standalone operation.

Remark 3.1

Students often treat multiplication as a discrete operation in isolation, memorizing the multiplication table through rote repetition without any conceptual understanding of its additive underpinning. This type of shallow learning will inevitably cause problems when students are asked to apply multiplication in new contexts or algebraic problems. The recursive definition clearly illustrates how multiplication is based on addition as the fundamental operation, thus enhancing conceptual cohesion between the two operations. Through this, students can gain a holistic view of arithmetic, which will help them with abstract algebra in advanced studies.

4. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF ARITHMETIC

The validity of arithmetic calculations is based on a short list of basic operational principles. Among these, the principles of commutativity, associativity, and distributivity are particularly important for simplifying expressions, organizing calculations, and providing a link between arithmetic and algebraic thinking. These principles are more than just useful tricks for simplifying calculations; they are the logical foundation of arithmetic. Nevertheless, students commonly use these principles as mere algorithms without grasping their underlying foundations and meanings, often making mistakes in multi-step calculations. This section formally defines these principles using recursive definitions presented earlier.

Theorem 4.1 (Commutativity of Addition)

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a + b = b + a.$$

Proof

The proof proceeds by mathematical induction on b.

Base case:

For $b = 0$,

$$a + 0 = a = 0 + a,$$

which satisfies the statement.

Inductive step:

Assume that $a + b = b + a$holds for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$a + (b + 1) = (a + b) + 1 = (b + a) + 1 = (b + 1) + a.$$

Thus, the property holds for $b + 1$. By the principle of mathematical induction, the result is true for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.2 (Associativity of Addition)

For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c).$$

Proof

We apply induction on c.

Base case:

For $c = 0$,

$$(a + b) + 0 = a + b = a + (b + 0),$$

so the statement holds.

Inductive step:

Assume

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c).$$

Then,

$$(a + b) + (c + 1) = ((a + b) + c) + 1 = (a + (b + c)) + 1 = a + ((b + c) + 1)$$
$$= a + (b + (c + 1)).$$

Hence, the equality holds for $c + 1$. By induction, associativity holds for all natural numbers.

Theorem 4.3 (Distributive Law of Multiplication over Addition)

For all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a(b + c) = ab + ac.$$

Proof

The proof follows by induction on c.

Base case:

For $c = 0$,

$$a(b + 0) = ab, ab + a0 = ab + 0 = ab.$$

Thus, the statement holds.

Inductive step:

Assume

$$a(b + c) = ab + ac.$$

Then,

$$a(b + (c + 1)) = a((b + c) + 1) = a(b + c) + a = (ab + ac) + a = ab + a(c + 1).$$

Hence, the distributive property holds for $c + 1$. By induction, the result is valid for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4.1

A number of common errors made by students in arithmetic are a result of a lack of understanding of these laws of operation. Students tend to misplace parentheses, reverse the order, and distribute terms erratically, especially in complex expressions. The clear explanation and demonstration of the laws of commutativity, associativity, and distributivity can enable students to appreciate the internal consistency of mathematical structures and understand that these laws are necessary consequences of definitions in arithmetic, rather than mere facts.

5. NUMBER REPRESENTATION AND PLACE VALUE

One of the key elements of arithmetic understanding is the decimal system of place value, which allows for the finite representation of natural numbers. Many student errors stem from a lack of understanding of the decimal system of place value. A precise explanation of the decimal system of place value illustrates how each digit in a number is worth something and provides a basis for accurate computation.

Definition 5.1 (Decimal Representation)

Every natural number ncan be uniquely expressed in base ten as:

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k \, 10^k, 0 \leq a_k \leq 9,$$

where $a_k$denotes the digit in the 10^kplace and $m$is a non-negative integer. This expansion reflects the positional structure of the decimal system, with each successive power of ten representing increasing magnitude.

Example 5.1

Consider the number 203. Its decimal expansion is given by

$$203 = 2 \times 10^2 + 0 \times 10^1 + 3 \times 10^0.$$

Here, the digit 2 represents two hundreds, 0 represents zero tens, and 3 represents three ones. This decomposition explicitly reveals the contribution of each digit to the total value.

Remark 5.1

Students often incorrectly read the number 203 as “23” because they fail to recognize the importance of the zero in the tens place. The use of explicit expansion in powers of ten helps to emphasize the importance of each digit and helps to solidify conceptual understanding of the place value system. These visual representations of number structure help to eliminate errors in place value and assist in the correct application of addition, subtraction, and multiplication operations involving multi-digit numbers.

6. SUBTRACTION, ORDER, AND DIVISION

Subtraction and division are inverse operations of addition and multiplication, respectively, and their proper understanding requires knowledge of order relations and number structure. The conceptual difficulty of these operations may arise when they are introduced to students in a procedural manner that does not stress their logical bases. In this section, subtraction is defined in terms of additive relationships, while division is described using the Euclidean division algorithm.

Definition 6.1 (Subtraction)

For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, subtraction $a - b$is defined whenever $a \geq b$such that there exists a natural number $c$satisfying

$$a = b + c \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; a - b = c.$$

This definition captures the concept of subtraction as the inverse of addition, thereby ensuring that the outcome is always a natural number if the subtrahend is less than or equal to the minuend.

Definition 6.2 (Division Algorithm)

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$with $b \neq 0$, there exist unique natural numbers q(quotient) and r(remainder) such that

$$a = bq + r, 0 \leq r < b.$$

This definition captures division as a decomposition of a number into a multiple of another number and a remainder, thus formalizing the concept of sharing or grouping.

International Journal of Advanced Research and Multidisciplinary Trends (IJARMT)
An International Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 6.4 Website: https://ijarmt.com ISSN No.: 3048-9458

Example 6.1
Consider the division
$$48 \div 6.$$
Since
$$48 = 6 \times 8 + 0,$$
the quotient is $q = 8$and the remainder is $r = 0$. Hence,
$$48 \div 6 = 8.$$
Remark 6.1
Students often equate division simply to repeated subtraction, which restricts their understanding to procedural skills. The quotient-remainder algorithm helps to reveal the logical structure of division by stressing the importance of decomposition over iteration. Such a viewpoint allows students to see division as the reverse operation of multiplication and helps them develop conceptual understanding in fraction, ratio, and algebraic expression problems.

7. WORKED EXAMPLES

"Worked examples are a very important part of consolidating theoretical concepts in the mind by illustrating how they can be applied in practice." The following examples show how the distributive property and the concept of subtraction as inverse addition can be applied.

Example 7.1
Verify the distributive law:
$$(5 + 3) \times 4 = 5 \times 4 + 3 \times 4.$$
Solution
Left-hand side:
$$(5 + 3) \times 4 = 8 \times 4 = 32.$$
Right-hand side:
$$5 \times 4 + 3 \times 4 = 20 + 12 = 32.$$
Since both sides evaluate to the same value,
$$(5 + 3) \times 4 = 5 \times 4 + 3 \times 4,$$
The distributive property is confirmed.
This example illustrates how the multiplication operation distributes over the addition operation to simplify or expand expressions. This confirmation helps students understand algebraic structure and aids in mental computation.

Example 7.2
Solve:
$$72 - 45.$$
Solution
Using Definition 6.1, find a number csuch that
$$72 = 45 + c.$$
Since
$$72 = 45 + 27,$$
it follows that
$$72 - 45 = 27.$$

8. CONCLUSION

This study confirms that basic arithmetic, when presented in a logically consistent and axiomatic framework, is an effective medium for diagnosing and treating learning difficulties in students. By grounding elementary operations in precise definitions, formal properties, and formal proofs, this study illustrates how learning difficulties arise from inadequate conceptual foundations rather than from procedural inefficiencies. The marriage of mathematical rigor with pedagogical insight promotes conceptual understanding, improves operational facility, and develops analytical skills. The presentation of arithmetic using recursive definitions, operational rules, and symbolic notation allows students to see interconnections among ideas and develop mathematical maturity. This method of instruction not only improves direct computational proficiency but also provides a solid cognitive foundation that is critical for algebraic and higher-order thinking. This study, therefore, highlights the need to move the focus of instruction from procedural competence to conceptual unity. Future studies can further confirm this theoretical model through practical implementation and empirical testing, thereby contributing to the development of best practices for improving arithmetic education at foundational levels.

REFERENCES

1. Acharya, B. R. (2017). Factors affecting difficulties in learning mathematics by mathematics learners. International Journal of Elementary Education, 6(2), 8-15.
2. Gupta, S. C., & Kapoor, V. K. (2020). Fundamentals of mathematical statistics. Sultan Chand & Sons.
3. Jupri, A., &Drijvers, P. H. M. (2016). Student difficulties in mathematizing word problems in algebra. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12(9), 2481-2502.
4. Kenedi, A. K., Helsa, Y., Ariani, Y., Zainil, M., & Hendri, S. (2019). Mathematical connection of elementary school students to solve mathematical problems. Journal on Mathematics Education, 10(1), 69-80.
5. Laurens, T., Batlolona, F. A., Batlolona, J. R., & Leasa, M. (2017). How does realistic mathematics education (RME) improve students’ mathematics cognitive achievement?. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(2), 569-578.
6. Lima, P. D. S. N., das Almas Silva, L., Félix, I. M., & de Oliveira Brandão, L. (2019, October). Difficulties in basic concepts of mathematics in higher education: A systematic review. In 2019 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
7. Pandiangan, E. L., Anggeraini, D., Aulia, N. P., Khairunnisa, M., & Marsella, V. (2024). ANALYSIS OF ARITHMETIC DIFFICULTIES AMONG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS. El Midad: JurnalJurusan PGMI, 16(2).
8. Rahmah, S., & Lubis, A. H. (2024). Problem Posing as a Learning Model to Improve Primary School Students' Mathematics Learning Outcomes in Gayo Lues. Journal of Indonesian Primary School, 1(4), 93-104.

9. Ralphaih, H. (2024). Analysis Of Student Errors In Solving Mathematical Problems Based On Arithmetic Conception Theory. The Journal of Academic Science, 1(1), 14-21.
10. Raupu, S., Nurdin, K., & Said, A. (2023). THE ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS'LEARNING DIFFICULTIES IN MATHEMATICS ALGEBRAIC ARITHMETIC OPERATION. SUJANA: Education and Learning Review, 11-26.
11. Schuchardt, A. M., & Schunn, C. D. (2016). Modeling scientific processes with mathematics equations enhances student qualitative conceptual understanding and quantitative problem solving. Science Education, 100(2), 290-320.
12. Swastika, A., Charismajati, R. C., &Kholid, M. N. (2022, July). Analysis of student difficulties in completing social arithmetic stories assessed from understanding the concept. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2479, No. 1, p. 020004). AIP Publishing LLC.
13. Tohir, M., Munawwarah, M., Saiful, Muqit, A., Anwar, K., Kandiri, &Asmuki. (2022, September). Analysis of students’ understanding of mathematical concepts in the Faraid calculation using modulo arithmetic theory. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2633, No. 1, p. 030005). AIP Publishing LLC.
14. Torbeyns, J., Schneider, M., Xin, Z., & Siegler, R. S. (2015). Bridging the gap: Fraction understanding is central to mathematics achievement in students from three different continents. Learning and instruction, 37, 5-13.
15. Wardat, Y., Tashtoush, M. A., AlAli, R., & Jarrah, A. M. (2023). ChatGPT: A revolutionary tool for teaching and learning mathematics. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 19(7), em2286.